



Query Workgroup Meeting Minutes

October 27, 2014: 2:00 – 3:00 pm EST

Meeting Participants

Committee Members

- ✓ Hans Buitendijk, Siemens (Co-Chair)
- ✓ Justin Stauffer, Epic
- ✓ Marty Prah, SSA
- ✓ Tone Southerland, Ready Computing
- ✓ Eric Heflin, Healthway
- ✓ David Schramm, Mirth
- ✓ David Tao, ICSA Labs
- ✓ Paul Clip, CommonWell
- ✓ John Donnelly, Interoperability Domain Expert
- ✓ Tara Dragert, Surescripts

Invited Subject Matter Experts and Carequality Support Team

- ✓ Mariann Yeager, Healthway Chief Executive Officer
- ✓ Neil Webb, Care Connectivity Consortium
- ✓ Darren Mann, Care Connectivity Consortium
- ✓ Brandon Wayman, GE
- ✓ Karen Chan, Clinovations Government Solutions
- ✓ Anita Samarth, Clinovations Government Solutions

Meeting Summary

Call to order

Discussion Summary: Roll call was facilitated to identify the Query Workgroup members and invited SMEs and Support Team. See list of participants noted above.

Action/Follow up: None

Review of Agenda

Discussion Summary: The agenda was reviewed with Workgroup members. Members were provided with an opportunity to add other items to the agenda. No additional items were raised.

Action/Follow up: None



Meeting Minutes

Discussion Summary: Members were asked to voice any questions or concerns regarding the meeting minutes from the 10/20 meeting. No members raised any questions or concerns regarding the meeting minutes.

Action/Follow up: Staff will ensure that all meeting minutes are posted on the Wiki page.

Marketplace Inventory – Survey Review

Discussion Summary: The Workgroup was asked for feedback on the Marketplace Inventory. The survey will be fielded through November 10. General feedback received prior from Workgroup members prior to the meeting was reviewed (listed in Slide 5 of the 10/27 Query Workgroup Meeting materials) before an open discussion took place to collect feedback on specific questions. A summary of this discussion is below:

- A Workgroup member asked if vendor or consultant respondents should respond from the perspective of their product, or on behalf of specific customers. It was noted that they should respond in a manner that identifies best practices amongst their customer base.
- The group discussed the purpose of providing a diagram and decided to change the term “context diagram” to “architectural diagram,” as several members noted they were unclear on what a context diagram is.
- A recommendation was made to add a question to ask what components and protocols a respondent has in place to enable RLS and document queries. If possible, place this in a diagram to depict the relationship between the standards, protocols, and technology.
- A suggestion was made to clarify that question 5 is related to RLS and query across networks.
- The group agreed to combine question 3 and 4 “including technologies, protocols, and standards,” and to flip questions 5 and 4.
- The following decisions were made regarding the patient matching question:
 - Split date and time of birth into two data elements
 - Spell out Patient Name
 - Clarify in question or add “Not Used” as a column
 - Add “SSN” to list, with also partial
 - Other can be “other identifier”

All Workgroup members were provided with an opportunity to voice any final feedback about the draft survey:

- Clarify the “others” in Question 5. Resolve by removing “other” column.
- Enlarge size of comment boxes if possible



- It was asked whether there is the need to discuss security protocols on each of these and the group concurred that security protocols might be overwhelming for this level of survey. The group agreed to focus more on business model, then technology model, and security model.
- A recommendation was made to signal to the respondents that additional inventory survey(s) may follow after learnings from this initial survey

Upcoming Meetings:

Discussion Summary: The workgroup would like to schedule 20 minute presentations from workgroup members on existing query and RLS capabilities, with 2 per meeting to allow time for discussion and questions.

It was noted that the workgroup previously identified 4 organizations for simple query and 4 organizations for RLS and will move forward with scheduling these presentations so as not to waste time while survey responses are being collected.

Action/Follow up:

- Staff to conduct scheduling and coordination of presentations.
- Workgroup members are encouraged to reach out to any colleagues who might be able to contribute to the presentations

Next Steps

Discussion Summary: Next steps include:

- Update the draft survey based on workgroup feedback
- Circulate the draft survey with the Steering Committee and Advisory Council to collect their feedback
- Distribute the finalized survey to all Carequality members to be fielded from October 31 – November 10
- Plan to have an all day, in-person workgroup meeting December 8 - This will be hosted by Siemens at one of their buildings in Washington DC
- In the interim, the CEQ Query WG will review RLS and query presentations – with about 2 per meeting (20 minutes each)

The next meeting will be held Monday, November 3.

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 pm EST.