

Carequality Query Workgroup Meeting

Accelerating seamless, interoperable health information exchange

January 12, 2015
2:00 – 4:00 PM EST



Agenda

- | | | |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1 | Review Agenda/Identify New Business | 2:00 – 2:05 |
| 2 | Work Group Meeting Schedules and Format – 2015 | 2:05 – 2:15 |
| 3 | Follow-up Items and Action Items from Last Meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Call for Participation – Deck will be distributed• Post-Meeting Slides with Notes | 2:15 – 2:20 |
| 4 | Implementation Guide Review & Work Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Known Patient, Known Location Use Case – Review Working Draft• Alternative Scoping and Workflows• Items for Group Feedback• Method for Connecting & Ongoing Meetings for Use Case Development• 2014 HIMSS Materials Posted on Query WG Wiki | 2:20 – 3:20 |
| 5 | ONC Demo Script Introduction | 3:20 – 3:30 |
| 7 | Next Steps, Other Business, Wrap Up | 3:30 – 3:45 |
| A | Definition of Work Tracks --appendix | N/A |
| A | Current Participants for Demonstration - appendix | N/A |

2015 – Verification of WG Availability

- Does our Monday 2-4 pm timeslot continue to work for the group?
- As we focus into “Work Tracks”:
 - 1st Hour: Entire Group – General Implementation Guide Discussions
 - 2nd Hour: Connectivity Participants – “Working Sessions” focused on Testing and “Monitored Virtual Connectathon Sessions”
- Draft meeting materials distributed Friday morning prior to Monday WG meeting
 - Given heavy IG and demo prep work, may present/distribute updated materials during Work Group meeting

Action Items from 01/05

1. Updated Call for Participation, Date Confirmed – 01/13 at 2pm ET
2. Continue Conversations with Confirmed “Virtual Connectathon” Participants
 - Scheduling 1-on-1 meetings with each
3. Identification of eHealth Exchange participants (Texas, Hans talking with potential eHX participant)
4. Conduct HIMSS Materials and Use Case Discussions for Next Week
5. Continued refinement of “1-pager” Visual of Work Streams (with Timing)
6. Initiate development of Detailed Work Plan for All Artifacts for Review/Input by the Query Work Group
7. Verify Distribution List for Query Work Group

Implementation Guide Review

- <Capture discussion items during meeting>
- 1/12 – Implementation Guide will be emailed to Work Group just prior to meeting
- Drafts of Implementation Guide will be posted on the Query Work Group Wiki: <http://carequality.wikispaces.com/Query+Work+Group>
- Discuss collaboration platforms and options to provide group feedback on Implementation Guide
 - Google Docs?
 - Other preferences?

HIMSS Materials Overview

- Items to Develop
 - <Capture items discussed during meeting>
- Process and work track to develop materials based upon last year's materials
- Materials posted (individually and via a single .zip file) on Query Work Group Wiki (bottom of page):
- <http://carequality.wikispaces.com/Query+Work+Group>

Next Steps & Action Items – 01/12 Meeting

#	Item Detail	Item Due/Next Step
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

Appendices

Discuss: Query WG Work Tracks & Timing

HIMSS Demonstration

- **January:** Registration, Define Demonstration Scenarios, *Coordinate with IG Development*
- **February:** Active connectivity among participants; Rehearse & Refine Demos, *Coordinate feedback and findings with Implementation Guide*
- **March:** *Final Testing for HIMSS15 Demonstrations*

HIMSS Materials

- **January:** Develop plan and strategy for materials
- **February:** Draft materials – *incorporate HIMSS Demo content;* Finalize communications plan
- **March:** Review and finalize materials
- **April:** Publish materials, Initiate communications

Implementation Guide

- **January – Mid February:** Draft content
- **March:** Carequality Comment Period
- **March-April:** *Review Demonstration & Pilot Findings*
- **April 30:** Publish Implementation Guide
- **May-?:** Revise Guide
- <Where are UC developed?>

Pilot

- <Discuss Pilot Timing and Work Track with Work Group>
- Develop pilot scripts
- Likely need a Pilot Materials column/ prep
- Pilot Participation Agreement
- Use Case Documents

“Virtual Connectathon” January – April

Work Stream Feedback

- Use Cases
 - Where does this occur? It is part of the IG as well as pilot.
 - It is also part of the HIMSS Demonstrations (more general)
 - Use Cases to hang the structure upon – at a simpler level – can be ½ a page; when you zoom in – can look at a particular field and see that there are potentially 3 ways to represent that field. Can put that in a different place in the IG or in the Use Case
- Materials for HIMSS Demonstration – harmonized deliverable
- Workplan view vs. single slide view – *action item* to refine

Current Participants for “Virtual Connectathon” Participation

- “Are you ready now?”
- “If not, when will you be ready?”
 - Healthway → *Action Item*: change in materials to “eHealth Exchange”
 - Bring this up during the eHealth Exchange Coordinating Committee meeting next week
 - SSA may have some cycles for the Virtual Connectathon, but not the HIMSS Demo - TBD
 - Epic
 - Will be participating, Ready
 - Don’t have HPD support at this time, but have the basic query requirements
 - CCC
 - Kaiser
 - Overlaps w/ CCC, Epic, Healthway, Surescripts
 - (bring non-overlapping participants of 3 above)
 - Surescripts
 - Confirmed participation
 - CommonWell
 - Discovery through XCPD – not currently supported – what are the participation terms – might be ability to be supported in the future
 - Can possibly do **document retrieve using XCA** – moving towards the pilot with a more fully formed IG – CommonWell could demonstrate an intention or a roadmap for the future?
 - There are CommonWell members that do support these other capabilities – can initiate the XCA query once inside the network
 - Would document this as a gap – what would be needed to participate outside the network – provide the information needed to go inside the network to understand what that would look like.
 - Have a development environment that can be used → will be resurrecting some of last year’s documents to provide more information
 - If we can demonstrate the vision using something like XCA – using “Helen Tucker” scenario – use that in the virtual connectathon to map out where the gaps are → helpful for Joe Lamy to develop the IG.
- How to follow-up on the survey feedback to dive into the details of how this works for the respondents? Do we need more than the summary view – how to interact with some of the respondents that didn’t fit into the model. What items in the IG might be a real challenge for these other organizations to connect.



carequality